Estimates for Radiological Concerns, Beam Heating and more: The NuMI Experience

or... My Experience with Monte Carlo simulations that ignore the neutrinos

B Lundberg

Outline

- 1. Kind and range of calcs
 2. Tools
- 3. Examples from NuMI
- 4. Accuracy
- 5. Problems, pitfalls (w/ examples)
- 6. Post-NuMl comments

More Specifically-Estimates for

Beam Heating in components
Residual Dose (beam activation)
Radiation Damage to components
Tritium production (and transport)
Primary Beam Transport

Provide Input for

- Design of components
 Beamline layout
 Safety Documentation
 - NuMI SA docs

Tools of the Trade

MARS

- Beam Heating
- Residual Dose
- Radiation Damage
- Tritium Production
- Other
 - Maple for Tritium diffusion

Tools of the Trade: MARS Monte Carlo

- Model starts just upstream of target
- Model ends with NuMI muon alcoves
- Detail level
 - in space ≤ cm Target Hall; ~10cm DK, Absorber
 - composition, densities : wherever measured

Original NuMI model authored by C. James

Example: Energy Deposition



NuMI Horn 1 MARS model

Example: Energy Deposition



NuMI Horn 1 MARS model

With OC MARS data

Example : Interaction Density



NuMI Target Hall and upstream DK

Example : Interaction Density



NuMI Target Hall and upstream DK

Note range: 8 orders of magnitude!

*NUMI-NOTE-SIM-1010

DECAY REGION SECTION



tritium produced by beam here -



connect data from core samples in walkway to production in DK concrete



these are MARS-derived estimates - from decay pipe and *outward* into concrete derived from core samples from walkway and *inward* into concrete

these are

measurements



these are measurements derived from core samples from walkway and *inward* into concrete

these are MARS-derived estimates - from decay pipe and *outward* into concrete

NuMI Experience

- PRIMARY USE OF CALCULATIONS:
 - 1. ESTIMATE RAD LEVELS IN
 - TARGET HALL
 - -RESIDUAL LEVELS OUTER SURFACES
 - -NEUTRON FLUXES INTO PENETRATIONS
 - 2. "CONFIRMATION" OF LOW ACTIVATION IN SURROUNDING ROCK (GROUND WATER) -ESTIMATE 99.9% CONTAINMENT
 - 3. INPUT TO ENGINEERING CALCS -TARGET, HORNS, ABSORBER...

NuMI Experience

PRIMARY USE OF CALCULATIONS (CONT):

4. DOCUMENTATION -SAFETY ASSESSMENT DOCS

NuMI Experience

PRIMARY USE OF CALCULATIONS (CONT):

GENERALLY, WAS NOT A PRIMARY TOOL FOR DESIGN*

MORE OF A CONFIRMATION / CORRECTION TOOL

- PHYSICS CONSIDERATIONS
- ENGINEERING CONSTRAINTS
- BEAM INTERACTION / RAD CONCERNS

* SOME EARLY NUMI HISTORY : MARS VS CASIM

NuMI Experience: Pitfalls

Failure to integrate with larger system
 Calculations need to interpreted
 MARS model ≠ engineering model

Example I. Failure to integrate with system

MARS predicted ~correct amount of tritium

... but its transport (air or diffusion) was a separate, more complex problem*

*come to R.Plunkett's talk

Example:

2. Calculations need to be interpreted

Residual Dose from MARS is for isolated materials



Measure here

 \Rightarrow result usually underestimates actual dose

Example 3. MARS model ≠ other model(s)

MARS is the first input to a chain of calculations

MARS is (usually) the simplest model and often difficult to synchronize grids



no estimates of fiscal impacts
 e.g. MARS → dose → shielding thickness error

Possible to estimate how much one could have saved (or lost) with thinnest allowable shield thicknesses, but not very instructive since safety demands over-design

Post - NuMI→Future use of MARS

My opinion : maintain design philosophy

- First pass at shielding thickness
- Input to engineering calcs
- MARS as correction / confirmation tool
- Other things:
 - accurate material specs make a difference!
 - better post-MARS tools
 - more CPU

Post - NuMI / Future use of MARS

MARS could / is integrated into physics calcs

Discussion?



Nova FD spectra